



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/883,625	06/18/2001	Jacob Joel Faul	CARDIFF.047A	1239

20995 7590 07/28/2005

KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP
2040 MAIN STREET
FOURTEENTH FLOOR
IRVINE, CA 92614

EXAMINER

LAFORGIA, CHRISTIAN A

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2131

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/883,625

Applicant(s)

FAUL, JACOB JOEL

Examiner

Christian La Forgia

Art Unit

2131

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 May 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 18 June 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 2131

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed on 16 May 2005 has been noted and made of record.
2. Claims 1-23 have been presented for examination.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
4. See further rejections that follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 4, 5, 8, 15, 18, 20, and 22 are rejected under both 35 U.S.C. 102(a) and 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,915,022 to Robinson et al., hereinafter Robinson.

7. As per claims 4 and 20, Robinson teaches a method of verifying a transaction conducted between a first party and a second party, the method comprising:

receiving transaction elements of the transaction (Figures 1-1 [steps 103, 106], 3b, 3c, column 3, line 60 to column 4, line 14, column 4, lines 34-65);

Art Unit: 2131

identifying at least a portion of the received transaction elements as selected elements (Figures 3b, 3c, column 4, lines 34-65, i.e. identifying confirmation number, customer name, date, description of transaction, etc.);

attaching at least a portion of the received transaction elements to a certificate template (Figures 1-2 [step 118], 2 [block 118a], column 4, lines 34-65, column 6, lines 23-48, i.e. transaction record is encrypted and appended to a message, the encrypted transaction record is appended to the digital receipt page);

encrypting the selected elements based on a private key of the first party to generate an encrypted code (Figures 1-2 [step 118], 2 [block 118a], column 4, lines 34-65, column 5, lines 41-53, column 6, lines 23-48, i.e. transaction record is encrypted and appended to a message, customer obtains merchant public key can decrypt transaction receipt, the encrypted transaction record is appended to the digital receipt page);

attaching the encrypted code to the certificate template to produce a transaction certificate (Figures 1-2 [step 118], 2 [block 118a], column 4, lines 34-65, column 6, lines 23-48, i.e. transaction record is encrypted and appended to a message, the encrypted transaction record is appended to the digital receipt page);

transmitting the transaction certificate with the encrypted code to the second party (Figure 1-2 [step 120], column 6, lines 23-48); and

instructing the second party to decrypt the encrypted code of the transaction certificate based on a public key of the first party to generate decrypted selected elements (column 5, lines 41-53, customer obtains merchant public key can decrypt transaction receipt),

wherein the decrypted selected elements can be used by the second party to prove the transaction (column 2, lines 31-43, column 6, lines 23-67, column 7, lines 1-33).

8. As per claim 15, Robinson teaches a method of verifying a transaction conducted between a first party and a second party, the method comprising:

transmitting transaction elements of the transaction to the first party (Figures 1-1 [steps 103, 106], 3b, 3c, column 3, line 60 to column 4, line 14, column 4, lines 34-65);

receiving a transaction certificate that includes an encrypted code (Figure 1-2 [step 120], column 6, lines 23-48);

retrieving a public key of the first party (column 5, lines 47-50, i.e. if a customer obtains the merchant's public key the customer could decrypt the transaction receipt); and

decrypting the included encrypted code based on the retrieved public key of the first party to generate decrypted proof elements (column 5, lines 47-50, i.e. if a customer obtains the merchant's public key the customer could decrypt the transaction receipt),

wherein the decrypted proof elements are used to prove the transaction (column 2, lines 31-43, column 6, lines 23-67, column 7, lines 1-33).

9. As per claim 18, Robinson teaches a method of a third party authenticating a transaction conducted between a first party and a second party, the method comprising:

receiving a transaction certificate with an encrypted code (Figure 1-2 [step 120], column 6, lines 23-48);

retrieving a public key of the first party (column 5, lines 47-50, i.e. if a customer obtains the merchant's public key the customer could decrypt the transaction receipt);

Art Unit: 2131

decrypting the encrypted code based on the retrieved public key of the first party to generate decrypted proof elements (column 5, lines 47-50, i.e. if a customer obtains the merchant's public key the customer could decrypt the transaction receipt); and

declaring the transaction between a first party and a second party including the decrypted proof elements as authenticated if the decrypting is successful (column 2, lines 31-43, column 6, lines 23-67, column 7, lines 1-33).

10. As per claim 22, Robinson teaches a computing device for verifying a transaction conducted between a first party and a second party, the device comprising:

a submitting module configured to submit transaction elements of the transaction from the second party to the first party (Figures 1-1 [steps 103, 106], 3b, 3c, column 3, line 60 to column 4, line 14, column 4, lines 34-65);

a receiving module configured to receive a transaction certificate including an encrypted code from the first party to the second party (Figure 1-2 [step 120], column 6, lines 23-48); and

a first decryption module configured to decrypt the encrypted code to generate decrypted proof elements, based on a public key of the first party (column 5, lines 47-50, i.e. if a customer obtains the merchant's public key the customer could decrypt the transaction receipt),

wherein the decrypted proof elements are used to prove the transaction (column 2, lines 31-43, column 6, lines 23-67, column 7, lines 1-33).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2131

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

12. Claims 1-3, 6, 7, 11-14, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robinson in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,243,480 to Zhao et al., hereinafter Zhao.

13. As per claim 1, Robinson teaches a method of verifying a transaction conducted between a first party and a second party, the method comprising:

receiving transaction elements of the transaction (Figures 1-1 [steps 103, 106], 3b, 3c, column 3, line 60 to column 4, line 14, column 4, lines 34-65);

identifying a portion of the received elements (Figures 3b, 3c, column 4, lines 34-65, i.e. identifying confirmation number, customer name, date, description of transaction, etc.);

transaction elements as selected encrypting the selected elements based on a private key of the first party to generate an encrypted code (column 4, lines 34-65, column 5, lines 41-52, i.e. creating a transaction record/code based on user elements using merchant's own private key);

sending the transaction certificate with the encrypted code to the second party (Figure 1-2 [step 120], column 6, lines 23-48); and

decrypt the encrypted code in electronic form based on a public key of the first party to generate decrypted selected elements (column 5, lines 47-50, i.e. if a customer obtains the merchant's public key the customer could decrypt the transaction receipt),

wherein the decrypted selected elements can be used by the second party to prove the transaction (column 2, lines 31-43, column 6, lines 23-67, column 7, lines 1-33).

14. Robinson does not disclose printing at least a portion of the received transaction elements on a hard copy transaction certificate; printing the encrypted code on the hard copy transaction

Art Unit: 2131

certificate; and instructing the second party to scan the transaction certificate to convert the encrypted code to electronic form.

15. Zhao teaches receiving a hard copy of a document with partial authentication information and scanning in the analog reference to convert the encrypted code into an electronic form for verification (column 3, line 57 to column 4, line 14).

16. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to print out a hard copy of the transaction receipt to be scanned in later to verify a transaction, since Zhao states at column 3, lines 41-54 that such a modification would provide a way to authenticate a digital receipt that has been printed out without losing the authentication information.

17. Regarding claims 2, 5, and 10, Robinson discloses prompting the second party to enter transaction elements of the transaction on an electronic transaction document (Figures 1-1 [steps 103, 106], 3b, 3c, column 3, line 60 to column 4, line 14, column 4, lines 34-65);

wherein receiving transaction elements comprises receiving transaction elements entered by the second party on the electronic transaction document (Figures 1-1 [steps 103, 106], 3b, 3c, column 3, line 60 to column 4, line 14, column 4, lines 34-65).

18. Regarding claims 3, 8, and 13, Robinson teaches identifying an element of a current date and time as one of the selected elements (Figures 3b, 3c, column 4, lines 34-65, column 5, lines 53-64).

Art Unit: 2131

19. Regarding claims 6 and 11, Robinson does not disclose wherein transmitting the transaction certificate comprises sending the transaction certificate to an email address of the second party.

20. Zhao teaches transmitting the sending the transaction information to an email address of the second party (column 14, line 57 to column 15, line 17).

21. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to transmit the digital receipt via email, since it is well known that email provides for an easy and inexpensive method to transmit information over a network.

22. Regarding claims 7 and 12, Robinson discloses using URLs to send the digital receipt back to the consumer.

23. Robinson does not teach transmitting the URL to an email address of the second party.

24. Zhao teaches transmitting the sending the transaction information to an email address of the second party (column 14, line 57 to column 15, line 17).

25. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to transmit the URL of the digital receipt via email, since it is well known that email provides for an easy and inexpensive method to transmit information over a network.

26. As per claim 14, Robinson discloses a method of verifying a transaction conducted between a first party and a second party, the method comprising:

Art Unit: 2131

identifying a portion of transaction elements of the transaction (Figures 3b, 3c, column 4, lines 34-65, i.e. identifying confirmation number, customer name, date, description of transaction, etc.);

transmitting transaction elements of the transaction and the identification of the transaction elements to the first party (Figures 1-1 [steps 103, 106], 3b, 3c, column 3, line 60 to column 4, line 14, column 4, lines 34-65);

retrieving a public key of the first party (column 5, lines 47-50, i.e. if a customer obtains the merchant's public key the customer could decrypt the transaction receipt); and

decrypting the converted encrypted code based on the retrieved public key of the first party to generate decrypted proof elements (column 5, lines 47-50, i.e. if a customer obtains the merchant's public key the customer could decrypt the transaction receipt),

wherein the decrypted proof elements are used to prove the transaction (column 2, lines 31-43, column 6, lines 23-67, column 7, lines 1-33).

27. Robinson does not teach receiving a hard copy transaction certificate that includes an encrypted code; and scanning the received transaction certificate to convert the encrypted code to electronic form.

28. Zhao teaches receiving a hard copy of a document with partial authentication information and scanning in the analog reference to convert the encrypted code into an electronic form for verification (column 3, line 57 to column 4, line 14).

29. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to print out a hard copy of the transaction receipt to be scanned in later to verify a transaction, since Zhao states at column

Art Unit: 2131

3, lines 41-54 that such a modification would provide a way to authenticate a digital receipt that has been printed out without losing the authentication information.

30. As per claim 17, Robinson teaches a method of a third party authenticating a transaction conducted between a first party and a second party, the method comprising:

retrieving a public key of the first party (column 5, lines 47-50, i.e. if a customer obtains the merchant's public key the customer could decrypt the transaction receipt);

decrypting the converted encrypted code based on the retrieved public key of the first party to generate decrypted proof elements (column 5, lines 47-50, i.e. if a customer obtains the merchant's public key the customer could decrypt the transaction receipt); and

declaring the transaction between a first party and a second party including the decrypted proof elements as authenticated by the third party if the decrypting is successful (column 2, lines 31-43, column 6, lines 23-67, column 7, lines 1-33).

31. Robinson does not teach receiving a hard copy transaction certificate with an encrypted code by a third party; and scanning the received transaction certificate to convert the encrypted code into electronic form.

32. Zhao teaches receiving a hard copy of a document with partial authentication information and scanning in the analog reference to convert the encrypted code into an electronic form for verification (column 3, line 57 to column 4, line 14).

33. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to print out a hard copy of the transaction receipt to be scanned in later to verify a transaction, since Zhao states at column

Art Unit: 2131

3, lines 41-54 that such a modification would provide a way to authenticate a digital receipt that has been printed out without losing the authentication information.

34. Claims 9, 10, 16, 19, 21, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robinson in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,285,991 to Powar, hereinafter Powar.

35. As per claims 9 and 21, Robinson teaches a method of verifying a transaction conducted between a first party and a second party, the method comprising:

receiving transaction elements of the transaction (Figures 1-1 [steps 103, 106], 3b, 3c, column 3, line 60 to column 4, line 14, column 4, lines 34-65);

identifying at least a portion of the received transaction elements as selected elements (Figures 3b, 3c, column 4, lines 34-65; i.e. identifying confirmation number, customer name, date, description of transaction, etc.);

attaching at least a portion of the received transaction elements to a certificate template (Figures 1-2 [step 118], 2 [block 118a], column 4, lines 34-65, column 6, lines 23-48, i.e. transaction record is encrypted and appended to a message, the encrypted transaction record is appended to the digital receipt page);

encrypting the selected elements based on a private key of the first party to generate an encrypted code (Figures 1-2 [step 118], 2 [block 118a], column 4, lines 34-65, column 5, lines 41-53, column 6, lines 23-48, i.e. transaction record is encrypted and appended to a message, customer obtains merchant public key can decrypt transaction receipt, the encrypted transaction record is appended to the digital receipt page);

attaching the encrypted code to the certificate template to produce a transaction certificate (Figures 1-2 [step 118], 2 [block 118a], column 4, lines 34-65, column 6, lines 23-48, i.e. transaction record is encrypted and appended to a message, the encrypted transaction record is appended to the digital receipt page);

instructing the second party to decrypt the included encrypted code based on a public key of the first party to generate decrypted selected elements (column 5, lines 41-53, customer obtains merchant public key can decrypt transaction receipt),

wherein the decrypted selected elements can be used by the second party to prove the transaction (column 2, lines 31-43, column 6, lines 23-67, column 7, lines 1-33).

36. Robinson does not disclose retrieving a public key of the second party; encrypting the transaction certificate based on the retrieved public key of the second party, to generate an encrypted transaction certificate; transmitting the encrypted transaction certificate to the second party; instructing the second party to decrypt the transmitted encrypted transaction certificate based on a private key of the second party, to produce a decrypted transaction certificate that includes the encrypted code.

37. Powar teaches sending a statement to a customer using the customer's public key system (column 4, lines 55 to column 5, line 17, column 11, lines 1-50). It is known that in public key systems, the public key is available to the public either by the customer or at a centralized location. When someone wishes to send an encrypted communication to the customer, they retrieve the customer's public key, encrypt the communication with the customer's public key, transmit the encrypted message to the customer at which point the customer decrypts the message using the customer's private key.

Art Unit: 2131

38. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the customer's public key to encrypt the transaction certificate for transmission to the customer, since Powar states at column 5, lines 6-17 that such a modification would verify the message as being legitimate, since in the customer is the only one with the private key that could decrypt and read the message.

39. As per claim 16, Robinson discloses a method of verifying a transaction conducted between a first party and a second party, the method comprising:

transmitting transaction elements of the transaction to the first party (Figures 1-1 [steps 103, 106], 3b, 3c, column 3, line 60 to column 4, line 14, column 4, lines 34-65);

receiving an encrypted transaction certificate (Figure 1-2 [step 120], column 6, lines 23-48);

retrieving a public key of the first party (column 5, lines 47-50, i.e. if a customer obtains the merchant's public key the customer could decrypt the transaction receipt); and

decrypting the encrypted code based on the retrieved public key of the first party to generate decrypted proof elements (column 5, lines 47-50, i.e. if a customer obtains the merchant's public key the customer could decrypt the transaction receipt),

wherein the decrypted proof elements are used to prove the transaction (column 2, lines 31-43, column 6, lines 23-67, column 7, lines 1-33).

40. Robinson does not teach making a public key of the second party available to the first party; decrypting the received encrypted transaction certificate based on a private key of the second party so as to generate a transaction certificate with an encrypted code.

Art Unit: 2131

41. Powar teaches sending a statement to a customer using the customer's public key system (column 4, lines 55 to column 5, line 17, column 11, lines 1-50). It is known that in public key systems, the public key is available to the public either by the customer or at a centralized location. When someone wishes to send an encrypted communication to the customer, they retrieve the customer's public key, encrypt the communication with the customer's public key, transmit the encrypted message to the customer at which point the customer decrypts the message using the customer's private key.

42. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the customer's public key to encrypt the transaction certificate for transmission to the customer, since Powar states at column 5, lines 6-17 that such a modification would verify the message as being legitimate, since the customer is the only one with the private key that could decrypt and read the message.

43. As per claim 19, Robinson discloses a method of a third party authenticating a transaction conducted between a first party and a second party, the method comprising:

retrieving a public key of the first party (column 5, lines 47-50, i.e. if a customer obtains the merchant's public key the customer could decrypt the transaction receipt);

decrypting the encrypted code based on the retrieved public key of the first party to generate decrypted proof elements (column 5, lines 47-50, i.e. if a customer obtains the merchant's public key the customer could decrypt the transaction receipt); and

declaring the transaction including the decrypted proof elements as authenticated if the decrypting is successful (column 2, lines 31-43, column 6, lines 23-67, column 7, lines 1-33).

Art Unit: 2131

44. Robinson does not disclose receiving an encrypted transaction certificate; and decrypting the received encrypted transaction certificate based on a private key of the third party so as to generate a transaction certificate with an encrypted code.

45. Powar teaches sending a encrypted statement using a public key system (column 4, lines 55 to column 5, line 17, column 11, lines 1-50). It is known that in public key systems, the public key is available to the public either by the party or at a centralized location. When someone wishes to send an encrypted communication to the party, they retrieve the party's public key, encrypt the communication with the party's public key, transmit the encrypted message to the party at which point the party decrypts the message using the party's private key.

46. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the party's public key to encrypt the transaction certificate for transmission to the party, since Powar states at column 5, lines 6-17 that such a modification would verify the message as being legitimate, since the party is the only one with the private key that could decrypt and read the message.

47. As per claim 23, Robinson teaches a computing device for verifying a transaction conducted between a first party and a second party, the device comprising:

a submitting module configured to submit transaction elements of the transaction from the second party to the first party (Figures 1-1 [steps 103, 106], 3b, 3c, column 3, line 60 to column 4, line 14, column 4, lines 34-65);

Art Unit: 2131

a second decryption module configured to decrypt the encrypted code based on a public key of the first party to generate decrypted proof elements (column 5, lines 41-53, customer obtains merchant public key can decrypt transaction receipt),

wherein the decrypted proof elements are used to prove the transaction (column 2, lines 31-43, column 6, lines 23-67, column 7, lines 1-33).

48. Robinson does not disclose a receiving module configured to receive an encrypted transaction certificate from the first party to the second party; a first decryption module configured to decrypt the received encrypted transaction certificate, based on a private key of the second party, to generate an decrypted transaction certificate with an encrypted code.

49. Powar teaches sending a statement to a customer using the customer's public key system (column 4, lines 55 to column 5, line 17, column 11, lines 1-50). It is known that in public key systems, the public key is available to the public either by the customer or at a centralized location. When someone wishes to send an encrypted communication to the customer, they retrieve the customer's public key, encrypt the communication with the customer's public key, transmit the encrypted message to the customer at which point the customer decrypts the message using the customer's private key.

50. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the customer's public key to encrypt the transaction certificate for transmission to the customer, since Powar states at column 5, lines 6-17 that such a modification would verify the message as being legitimate, since in the customer is the only one with the private key that could decrypt and read the message.

Art Unit: 2131

Conclusion


51. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christian La Forgia whose telephone number is (571) 272-3792.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday 7-5.

52. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

53. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christian LaForgia
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2131
clf


7/25/05